30

## **APPLICATION PAPERS**

<u>OF</u> 10

EDWARD COLLES NEVILL 15 

<u>AND</u>

ANDREW CHRISTOPHER ROSE

**FOR** 

HARDWARE INSTRUCTION TRANSLATION WITHIN A PROCESSOR PIPELINE

30

35

5

10

#### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

## Field of the Invention

This invention relates to data processing systems. More particularly, this invention relates to data processing systems in which instruction translation from one instruction set to another instruction set occurs within a processor pipeline.

## Description of the Prior Art

It is known to provide processing systems in which instruction translation from a first instruction set to a second instruction set takes place within the instruction pipeline. In these systems each instruction to be translated maps to a single native instruction. An example of such systems are the processors produced by ARM Limited that support both ARM and Thumb instruction codes.

It is also known to provide processing systems in which non-native instructions may be translated into native instruction sequences comprising multiple native instructions. An example of such a system is described in US-A-5,937,193. This system maps Java bytecodes to 32-bit ARM instructions. The translation takes place before the instructions are passed into the processor pipeline and utilises memory address remapping techniques. A Java bytecode is used to look up a sequence of ARM instructions in a memory that then emulate the action of the Java bytecode.

The system of US-A-5,937,193 has several associated disadvantages. Such a system is inefficient in the way it utilises memory and memory fetches. The ARM instruction sequences all occupy the same amount of memory space even if they could be arranged to occupy less. Multiple fetches of ARM instructions from memory are required upon the decoding of each Java bytecode which disadvantageously consumes power and disadvantageously impacts performance. The translated instruction sequences are fixed making it difficult to take account of what may be different starting system states when executing each Java bytecode that could result in different, or better optimised, instruction translations.

Examples of known systems for translation between instruction sets and other background information may be found in the following: US-A-5,805,895; US-A-3,955,180;

10 spin part part part may be the part and the part was part and the p

25

30

5

US-A-5,970,242; US-A-5,619,665; US-A-5,826,089; US-A-5,925,123; US-A-5,875,336; US-'A-5.937.193; US-A-5,953,520; US-A-6,021,469; US-A-5,568,646; US-A-5,758,115; US-A-5.367.685; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, March 1988, pp308-309, "System/370 Emulator Assist Processor For a Reduced Instruction Set Computer"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, July 1986, pp548-549, "Full Function Series/1 Instruction Set Emulator"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, March 1994, pp605-606, "Real-Time CISC Architecture HW Emulator On A RISC Processor"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, March 1998, p272, "Performance Improvement Using An EMULATION Control Block"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, January 1995, pp537-540, "Fast Instruction Decode For Code Emulation on Reduced Instruction Set Computer/Cycles Systems"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, February 1993, pp231-234, "High Performance Dual Architecture Processor"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, August 1989, pp40-43, "System/370 I/O Channel Program Channel Command Word Prefetch"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, June 1985, pp305-306, "Fully Microcode-Controlled Emulation Architecture"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, March 1972, pp3074-3076, "Op Code and Status Handling For Emulation"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, August 1982, pp954-956, "On-Chip Microcoding of a Microprocessor With Most Frequently Used Instructions of Large System and Primitives Suitable for Coding Remaining Instructions"; IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, April 1983, pp5576-5577, "Emulation Instruction"; the book ARM System Architecture by S Furber; the book Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach by Hennessy and Patterson; and the book The Java Virtual Machine Specification by Tim Lindholm and Frank Yellin 1st and 2nd Editions.

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

Viewed from one aspect the present invention provides apparatus for processing data, said apparatus comprising:

- (i) a processor core operable to execute operations as specified by instructions of a first instruction set, said processor core having an instruction pipeline into which instructions to be executed are fetched from a memory and along which instructions progress; and
- (ii) an instruction translator operable to translate instructions of a second instruction set into translator output signals corresponding to instructions of said first instruction set; wherein

30

5

10

- (iii) said instruction translator is within said instruction pipeline and translates instructions of said second instruction set that have been fetched into said instruction pipeline from said memory;
- (iv) at least one instruction of said second instruction set specifies a multi-step operation that requires a plurality of operations that may be specified by instructions of said first instruction set in order to be performed by said processor core; and
- (v) said instruction translator is operable to generate a sequence of translator output signals to control said processor core to perform said multi-step operation.

The present invention provides the instruction translator within the instruction pipeline of the processor core itself downstream of the fetch stage. In this way, the non-native instructions (second instruction set instructions) may be stored within the memory system in the same way as native instructions (first instruction set instructions) thereby removing what would otherwise be a constraint on memory system usage. Furthermore, for each non-native instruction, a single memory fetch of a non-native instruction from the memory system takes place with generation of any multi-step sequence of native instruction operations occurring within the processor pipeline. This reduces the power consumed by memory fetches and improves performance. In addition, the instruction translator within the pipeline is able to issue a variable number of native instruction operations down the remainder of the pipeline to be executed in dependence upon the particular non-native instruction being decoded and in dependence upon any surrounding system state that may influence what native operations may efficiently perform the desired non-native operation.

It will be appreciated that the instruction translator could generate translator output signals that fully and completely represent native instructions from the first instruction set. Such an arrangement may allow the simple re-use of hardware logic that was designed to operate with those instructions of the first instruction set. However, it will be appreciated that the instruction translator may also generate translator output signals that are control signals that can produce the same effect as native instructions without directly corresponding to them or additionally provide further operations, such as extended operand field, that were not in themselves directly provided by instructions of the first instruction set.

Providing the instruction translator within the instruction pipeline enables a program counter value for the processor core to be used to fetch non-native instructions from the

10

memory in a conventional manner as the translation into native instructions of non-native instructions takes place without reliance upon the memory organisation. Furthermore, the program counter value may be controlled so as to be advanced in accordance with the execution of non-native instructions without a dependence upon whether or not those non-native instructions translate into single step or multi-step operations of native instructions. Using the program counter value to track the execution of non-native instructions advantageously simplifies methods for dealing with interrupts, branches and other aspects of the system design.

Providing the instruction translator within the instruction pipeline, in a way which may be considered as providing a finite state machine, has the result that the instruction translator is more readily able to adjust the translated instruction operations to reflect the system state as well as the non-native instruction being translated. As a particularly preferred example of this, when the second instruction set specifies stack based processing and the processor core is one intended for register based processing, then it is possible to use a set of the registers to effectively cache stack operands in order to speed up processing. In this circumstance, the translated instruction sequences may vary depending upon whether or not a particular stack operand is cached within a register or has to be fetched.

In order to reduce the impact that the instruction translator may have upon the execution of native instructions, preferred embodiments are such that the instruction translator within the instruction pipeline is provided with a bypass path such that, when operating in a native instruction processing mode, native instructions can be processed without being influenced by the instruction translator.

25

30

It will be appreciated that the native instructions and the non-native instructions could take many different forms. However, the invention is particularly useful when the non-native instructions of the second instruction set are Java Virtual Machine instructions as the translation of these instructions into native instructions presents many of the problems and difficulties which the present invention is able to address.

Viewed from another aspect the present invention provides a method of processing data using a processor core having an instruction pipeline into which instructions to be executed are fetched from a memory and along which instructions progress, said processor

30

5

10

core being operable to execute operations specified by instructions of a first instruction set, said method comprising the steps of:

- (i) fetching instructions into said instruction pipeline; and
- (ii) translating fetched instructions of a second instruction set into translator output signals corresponding to instructions of said first instruction set using an instruction translator within said instruction pipeline; wherein
- (iii) at least one instruction of said second instruction set specifies a multi-step operation that requires a plurality of operations that may be specified by instructions of said first instruction set in order to be performed by said processor core; and
- (iv) said instruction translator is operable to generate a sequence of translator output signals to control said processor core to perform said multi-step operation.

The invention also provides a computer program product holding a computer program for controlling a computer in accordance with the above technique.

When fetching instructions to be translated within an instruction pipeline a problem arises when the instructions to be translated are variable length instructions. The fetch stage of an instruction pipeline has relatively predictable operation when fetching fixed length instructions. For example, if an instruction is executed on each instruction cycle, then the fetch stage may be arranged to fetch an instruction upon each instruction cycle in order to keep the instruction pipeline full. However, when the instructions being fetched are of a variable length, then there is a difficulty in identifying the boundaries between instructions. Accordingly, in memory systems that provide fixed length memory reads, a particular variable length instruction may span between memory reads requiring a second fetch to read the final portion of an instruction.

Viewed from another aspect the invention provides apparatus for processing data, said apparatus comprising:

(i) a processor core operable to execute operations as specified by instructions of a first instruction set, said processor core having an instruction pipeline into which instructions to be executed are fetched from a memory and along which instructions progress; and

10

- (ii) an instruction translator operable to translate instructions of a second instruction set into translator output signals corresponding to instructions of said first instruction set; wherein
- (iii) said instructions of said second instruction set are variable length instructions; said instruction translator is within said instruction pipeline and translates instructions of said second instruction set that have been fetched into a fetch stage of said instruction pipeline from said memory; and
- (iv) said fetch stage of said instruction pipeline includes an instruction buffer holding at least a current instruction word and a next instruction word fetched from said memory such that if a variable length instruction of said second instruction set starts within said current instruction word and extends into said next instruction word, then said next instruction word is available within said pipeline for translation by said instruction translator without requiring a further fetch operation.

The invention provides a buffer within the fetch stage storing at least a current instruction word and a next instruction word. In this way, if a particular variable length instruction extends out of the current instruction word into the next instruction word, then that instruction word has already been fetched and so is available for immediate decoding and use. Any second, power inefficient fetch is also avoided. It will be appreciated that providing a fetch stage in the pipeline that buffers a next instruction word as well as the current instruction word and supports variable length instructions makes the fetch stage operate in a more asynchronous manner relative to the rest of the stages within the instruction pipeline. This is counter to the normal operational trend within instruction pipelines for executing fixed length instructions in which the pipeline stages tend to operate in synchronism.

25

Embodiments of the invention that buffer instructions within the fetch stage are well suited to use within systems that also have the above described preferred features set out in relation to the first aspect of the invention.

30

Viewed from another aspect the invention provides a method of processing data using a processor core operable to execute operations as specified by instructions of a first instruction set, said processor core having an instruction pipeline into which instructions to be executed are fetched from a memory and along which instructions progress, said method comprising the steps of:

30

5

10

- (i) fetching instructions into said instruction pipeline; and
- (ii) translating fetched instructions of a second instruction set into translator output signals corresponding to instructions of said first instruction set using an instruction translator within said instruction pipeline; wherein
  - (iii) said instructions of said second instruction set are variable length instructions;
- (iv) said instruction translator is within said instruction pipeline and translates instructions of said second instruction set that have been fetched into a fetch stage of said instruction pipeline from said memory; and
- (v) said fetch stage of said instruction pipeline includes an instruction buffer holding at least a current instruction word and a next instruction word fetched from said memory such that if a variable length instruction of said second instruction set starts within said current instruction word and extends into said next instruction word, then said next instruction word is available within said pipeline for translation by said instruction translator without requiring a further fetch operation.

The above, and other objects, features and advantages of this invention will be apparent from the following detailed description of illustrative embodiments which is to be read in connection with the accompanying drawings.

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Figures 1 and 2 schematically represent example instruction pipeline arrangements;

Figure 3 illustrates in more detail a fetch stage arrangement;

Figure 4 schematically illustrates the reading of variable length non-native instructions from within buffered instruction words within the fetch stage;

Figure 5 schematically illustrates a data processing system for executing both processor core native instructions and instructions requiring translation;

Figure 6 schematically illustrates, for a sequence of example instructions and states the contents of the registers used for stack operand storage, the mapping states and the relationship between instructions requiring translation and native instructions;

30

5

10

Figure 7 schematically illustrates the execution of a non-native instruction as a sequence of native instructions;

Figure 8 is a flow diagram illustrating the way in which the instruction translator may operate in a manner that preserves interrupt latency for translated instructions;.

Figure 9 schematically illustrates the translation of Java bytecodes into ARM opcodes using hardware and software techniques;

Figure 10 schematically illustrates the flow of control between a hardware based translator, a software based interpreter and software based scheduling;

Figures 11 and 12 illustrate another way of controlling scheduling operations using a timer based approach; and

Figure 13 is a signal diagram illustrating the signals controlling the operation of the circuit of Figure 12.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

Figure 1 shows a first example instruction pipeline 30 of a type suitable for use in an ARM processor based system. The instruction pipeline 30 includes a fetch stage 32, a native instruction (ARM/Thumb instructions) decode stage 34, an execute stage 36, a memory access stage 38 and a write back stage 40. The execute stage 36, the memory access stage 38 and the write back stage 40 are substantially conventional. Downstream of the fetch stage 32, and upstream of the native instruction decode stage 34, there is provided an instruction translator stage 42. The instruction translator stage 42 is a finite state machine that translates Java bytecode instructions of a variable length into native ARM instructions. The instruction translator stage 42 is capable of multi-step operation whereby a single Java bytecode instruction may generate a sequence of ARM instructions that are fed along the remainder of the instruction pipeline 30 to perform the operation specified by the Java bytecode instruction. Simple Java bytecode instructions may required only a single ARM instruction to perform their operation, whereas more complicated Java bytecode instructions, or in circumstances where the surrounding system state so dictates, several ARM instructions may be needed to

30

10

provide the operation specified by the Java bytecode instruction. This multi-step operation takes place downstream of the fetch stage 32 and accordingly power is not expended upon fetching multiple translated ARM instructions or Java bytecodes from a memory system. The Java bytecode instructions are stored within the memory system in a conventional manner such that additional constraints are not provided upon the memory system in order to support the Java bytecode translation operation.

As illustrated, the instruction translator stage 42 is provided with a bypass path. When not operating in an instruction translating mode, the instruction pipeline 30 may bypass the instruction translator stage 42 and operate in an essentially unaltered manner to provide decoding of native instructions.

In the instruction pipeline 30, the instruction translator stage 42 is illustrated as generating translator output signals that fully represent corresponding ARM instructions and are passed via a multiplexer to the native instruction decoder 34. The instruction translator 42 also generates some extra control signals that may be passed to the native instruction decoder 34. Bit space constraints within the native instruction encoding may impose limitations upon the range of operands that may be specified by native instructions. These limitations are not necessarily shared by the non-native instructions. Extra control signals are provided to pass additional instruction specifying signals derived from the non-native instructions that would not be possible to specify within native instructions stored within memory. As an example, a native instruction may only provide a relatively low number of bits for use as an immediate operand field within a native instruction, whereas the non-native instruction may allow an extended range and this can be exploited by using the extra control signals to pass the extended portion of the immediate operand to the native instruction decoder 34 outside of the translated native instruction that is also passed to the native instruction decoder 34.

Figure 2 illustrates a further instruction pipeline 44. In this example, the system is provided with two native instruction decoders 46, 48 as well as a non-native instruction decoder 50. The non-native instruction decoder 50 is constrained in the operations it can specify by the execute stage 52, the memory stage 54 and the write back stage 56 that are provided to support the native instructions. Accordingly, the non-native instruction decoder 50 must effectively translate the non-native instructions into native operations (which may be a single native operation or a sequence of native operations) and then supply appropriate

control signals to the execute stage 52 to carry out these one or more native operations. It will be appreciated that in this example the non-native instruction decoder does not produce signals that form a native instruction, but rather provides control signals that specify native instruction (or extended native instruction) operations. The control signals generated may not match the control signals generated by the native instruction decoders 46, 48.

In operation, an instruction fetched by the fetch stage 58 is selectively supplied to one of the instruction decoders 46, 48 or 50 in dependence upon the particular processing mode using the illustrated demultiplexer.

10

Figure 3 schematically illustrates the fetch stage of an instruction pipeline in more detail. Fetching logic 60 fetches fixed length instruction words from a memory system and supplies these to an instruction word buffer 62. The instruction word buffer 62 is a swing buffer having two sides such that it may store both a current instruction word and a next instruction word. Whenever the current instruction word has been fully decoded and decoding has progressed onto the next instruction word, then the fetch logic 60 serves to replace the previous current instruction word with the next instruction word to be fetched from memory, i.e. each side of the swing buffer will increment by two in an interleaved fashion the instruction words that they successively store.

In the example illustrated, the maximum instruction length of a Java bytecode instruction is three bytes. Accordingly, three multiplexers are provided that enable any three neighbouring bytes within either side of the word buffer 62 to be selected and supplied to the instruction translator 64. The word buffer 62 and the instruction translator 64 are also provided with a bypass path 66 for use when native instructions are being fetched and decoded.

30

25

It will be seen that each instruction word is fetched from memory once and stored within the word buffer 62. A single instruction word may have multiple Java bytecodes read from it as the instruction translator 64 performs the translation of Java bytecodes into ARM instructions. Variable length translated sequences of native instructions may be generated without requiring multiple memory system reads and without consuming memory resource or imposing other constraints upon the memory system as the instruction translation operations are confined within the instruction pipeline.

25

30

5

10

A program counter value is associated with each Java bytecode currently being translated. This program counter value is passed along the stages of the pipeline such that each stage is able, if necessary, to use the information regarding the particular Java bytecode it is processing. The program counter value for a Java bytecode that translates into a sequence of a plurality of ARM instruction operations is not incremented until the final ARM instruction operation within that sequence starts to be executed. Keeping the program counter value in a manner that continues to directly point to the instruction within the memory that is being executed advantageously simplifies other aspects of the system, such as debugging and branch target calculation.

Figure 4 schematically illustrates the reading of variable length Java bytecode instructions from the instruction buffer 62. At the first stage a Java bytecode instruction having a length of one is read and decoded. The next stage is a Java bytecode instruction that is three bytes in length and spans between two adjacent instruction words that have been fetched from the memory. Both of these instruction words are present within the instruction buffer 62 and so instruction decoding and processing is not delayed by this spanning of a variable length instruction between instruction words fetched. Once the three Java bytecodes have been read from the instruction buffer 62, the refill of the earlier fetched of the instruction words may commence as subsequent processing will continue with decoding of Java bytecodes from the following instruction word which is already present.

The final stage illustrated in Figure 4 illustrates a second three bytecode instruction being read. This again spans between instruction words. If the preceding instruction word has not yet completed its refill, then reading of the instruction may be delayed by a pipeline stall until the appropriate instruction word has been stored into the instruction buffer 62. In some embodiments the timings may be such that the pipeline never stalls due to this type of behaviour. It will be appreciated that the particular example is a relatively infrequent occurrence as most Java bytecodes are shorter than the examples illustrated and accordingly two successive decodes that both span between instruction words is relatively uncommon. A valid signal may be associated with each of the instruction words within the instruction buffer 62 in a manner that is able to signal whether or not the instruction word has appropriately been refilled before a Java bytecode has been read from it.

10 mg cmg ang ang mg ang mg ang mg 5

5

Figure 5 shows a data processing system 102 including a processor core 104 and a register bank 106. An instruction translator 108 is provided within the instruction path to translate Java Virtual Machine instructions to native ARM instructions (or control signals corresponding thereto) that may then be supplied to the processor core 104. The instruction translator 108 may be bypassed when native ARM instructions are being fetched from the addressable memory. The addressable memory may be a memory system such as a cache memory with further off-chip RAM memory. Providing the instruction translator 108 downstream of the memory system, and particularly the cache memory, allows efficient use to be made of the storage capacity of the memory system since dense instructions that require translation may be stored within the memory system and only expanded into native instructions immediately prior to being passed to the processor core 104.

The register bank 106 in this example contains sixteen general purpose 32-bit registers, of which four are allocated for use in storing stack operands, i.e. the set of registers for storing stack operands is registers R0, R1, R2 and R3.

The set of registers may be empty, partly filled with stack operands or completely filled with stack operands. The particular register that currently holds the top of stack operand may be any of the registers within the set of registers. It will thus be appreciated that the instruction translator may be in any one of seventeen different mapping states corresponding to one state when all of the registers are empty and four groups of four states each corresponding to a respective different number of stack operands being held within the set of registers and with a different register holding the top of stack operand. Table 1 illustrates the seventeen different states of the state mapping for the instruction translator 108. It will be appreciated that with a different number of registers allocated for stack operand storage, or as a result of constraints that a particular processor core may have in the way it can manipulate data values held within registers, the mapping states can very considerably depending upon the particular implementation and Table 1 is only given as an example of one particular implementation.

30

35

25

STATE 00000

R0 = EMPTY

R1 = EMPTY

R2 = EMPTY

R3 = EMPTY

,	.STATE 00100	STATE 01000	STATE 01100	STATE 10000
5	R1 = EMPTY R2 = EMPTY	R0 = TOS R1 = EMPTY R2 = EMPTY R3 = TOS-1	R0 = TOS R1 = EMPTY R2 = TOS-2 R3 = TOS-1	
10	STATE 00101	STATE 01001	STATE 01101	STATE 10001
15	R1 = TOS R2 = EMPTY	R0 = TOS-1 R1 = TOS R2 = EMPTY R3 = EMPTY	R1 = TOS	R1 = TOS R2 = TOS-3
13	STATE 00110	STATE 01010	STATE 01110	STATE 10010
<b>12</b> 0	R1 = EMPTY	R0 = EMPTY R1 = TOS-1 R2 = TOS R3 = EMPTY	R0 = TOS-2 R1 = TOS-1 R2 = TOS R3 = EMPTY	
	STATE 00111	STATE 01011	STATE 01111	STATE 10011
111 125 125	R1 = EMPTY R2 = EMPTY	R1 = EMPTY	R0 = EMPTY R1 = TOS-2 R2 = TOS-1 R3 = TOS	
30	TABLE 1			
	Within Ta	ble 1 it may be obs	erved that the first	three bits of the

#### TABLE 1

35

40

45

Within Table 1 it may be observed that the first three bits of the state value indicate the number of non-empty registers within the set of registers. The final two bits of the state value indicate the register number of the register holding the top of stack operand. In this way, the state value may be readily used to control the operation of a hardware translator or a software translator to take account of the currently occupancy of the set of registers and the current position of the top of stack operand.

As illustrated in Figure 5 a stream of Java bytecodes J1, J2, J3 is fed to the instruction translator 108 from the addressable memory system. The instruction translator 108 then outputs a stream of ARM instructions (or equivalent control signals, possibly extended) dependent upon the input Java bytecodes and the instantaneous mapping state of the instruction translator 8, as well as other variables. The example illustrated shows Java bytecode J1 being mapped to ARM instructions A<sup>1</sup>1 and A<sup>1</sup>2. Java bytecode J2 maps to ARM instructions A<sup>2</sup>1, A<sup>2</sup>2 and A<sup>2</sup>3. Finally, Java bytecode J3 maps to ARM instruction A<sup>3</sup>1. Each of the Java bytecodes may require one or more stack operands as inputs and may

5

10

produce one or more stack operands as an output. Given that the processor core 104 in this example is an ARM processor core having a load/store architecture whereby only data values held within registers may be manipulated, the instruction translator 108 is arranged to generate ARM instructions that, as necessary, fetch any required stack operands into the set of registers before they are manipulated or store to addressable memory any currently held stack operands within the set of registers to make room for result stack operands that may be generated. It will be appreciated that each Java bytecode may be considered as having an associated "require full" value indicating the number of stack operands that must be present within the set of registers prior to its execution together with a "require empty" value indicating the number of empty registers within the set of registers that must be available prior to execution of the ARM instructions representing the Java opcode.

Table 2 illustrates the relationship between initial mapping state values, require full values, final state values and associated ARM instructions. The initial state values and the final state values correspond to the mapping states illustrated in Table 1. The instruction translator 108 determines a require full value associated with the particular Java bytecode (opcode) it is translating. The instruction translator (108), in dependence upon the initial mapping state that it has, determines whether or not more stack operands need to be loaded into the set of registers prior to executing the Java bytecode. Table 1 shows the initial states together with tests applied to the require full value of the Java bytecode that are together applied to determine whether a stack operand needs to be loaded into the set of registers using an associated ARM instruction (an LDR instruction) as well as the final mapping state that will be adopted after such a stack cache load operation. In practice, if more than one stack operand needs to be loaded into the set of registers prior to execution of the Java bytecode, then multiple mapping state transitions will occur, each with an associated ARM instruction loading a stack operand into one of the registers of the set of registers. In different embodiments it may be possible to load multiple stack operands in a single state transition and accordingly make mapping state changes beyond those illustrated in Table 2.

30	INITIAL STATE	REQUIRE FULL	FINAL STATE	ACTIONS	
	00000	>0	00100	LDR RO, [Rst	ack, #-41!
	00100	>1	01000	LDR R3, [Rst	
	01001	>2	01101	LDR R3, [Rst	ack, #-41!
35	01110	>3	10010	LDR R3, [Rst	-
	01111	>3	10011	LDR RO, [Rst	ack, #-4]!
	01100	>3	10000	LDR R1, [Rst	
	01101	>3	10001	LDR R2. [Rst	

The first that the same was produced to the sa

45

	01010	>2	01110	LDR RO,	[Rstack,	#-4]!
	.01011	>2	01111	LDR R1,	[Rstack,	#-4]!
	01000	>2	01100	LDR R2,	[Rstack,	#-4]!
	00110	>1	01010	LDR R1,	[Rstack,	#-4]!
5	00111	>1	01011	LDR R2,	[Rstack,	#-4]!
	00101	>1	01001	LDR RO,	[Rstack,	#-41!

## TABLE 2

As will be seen from Table 2, a new stack operand loaded into the set of registers storing stack operands will form a new top of stack operand and this will be loaded into a particular one of the registers within the set of registers depending upon the initial state.

Table 3 in a similar manner illustrates the relationship between initial state, require empty value, final state and an associated ARM instruction for emptying a register within the set of registers to move between the initial state and the final state if the require empty value of a particular Java bytecode indicates that it is necessary given the initial state before the Java bytecode is executed. The particular register values stored off to the addressable memory with an STR instruction will vary depending upon which of the registers is the current top of stack operand.

	-			-		•	
<b>2</b> 0	memory with	n an STR	instruction	will	vary	depending	upon
gen such gen dine, profe tion,	current top of	f stack oper	and.				
10 100 Hz	INITIAL	REQUIRE	FINAL	ACT	IONS		
***	STATE	EMPTY	STATE				
25	00100	>3	00000	STR	R0,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01001	>2	00101	STR	R0,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01110	>1	01010	STR	RO,	[Rstack]	, #4
	10011	>0	01111	STR	R0,	[Rstack]	, #4
	10000	>0	01100	STR	R1,	[Rstack]	, #4
30	10001	>0	01101	STR	R2,	[Rstack]	, #4
	10010	>0	01110	STR	R3,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01111	>1	01011	STR	R1,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01100	>1	01000	STR	R2,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01101	>1	01001	STR	R3,	[Rstack]	, #4
35	01010	>2	00110	STR	R1,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01011	>2	00111	STR	R2,	[Rstack]	, #4
	01000	>2	00100	STR	R3,	[Rstack]	, #4
	00110	>3	00000	STR	R2,	[Rstack]	, #4
	00111	>3	00000	STR	R3,	[Rstack]	, #4
40	00101	>3	00000	STR	R1,	[Rstack]	, #4

## TABLE 3

It will be appreciated that in the above described example system the require full and require empty conditions are mutually exclusive, that is to say only one of the require full or

require empty conditions can be true at any given time for a particular Java bytecode which the instruction translator is attempting to translate. The instruction templates used by the instruction translator 108 together with the instructions it is chosen to support with the hardware instruction translator 108 are selected such that this mutually exclusive requirement may be met. If this requirement were not in place, then the situation could arise in which a particular Java bytecode required a number of input stack operands to be present within the set of registers that would not allow sufficient empty registers to be available after execution of the instruction representing the Java bytecode to allow the results of the execution to be held within the registers as required.

10

122

The first part of the property of the property

20

5

It will be appreciated that a given Java bytecode will have an overall nett stack action representing the balance between the number of stack operands consumed and the number of stack operands generated upon execution of that Java bytecode. Since the number of stack operands consumed is a requirement prior to execution and the number of stack operands generated is a requirement after execution, the require full and require empty values associated with each Java bytecode must be satisfied prior to execution of that bytecode even if the nett overall action would in itself be met. Table 4 illustrates the relationship between an initial state, an overall stack action, a final state and a change in register use and relative position of the top of stack operand (TOS). It may be that one or more of the state transitions illustrated in Table 2 or Table 3 need to be carried out prior to carrying out the state transitions illustrated in Table 4 in order to establish the preconditions for a given Java bytecode depending on the require full and require empty values of the Java bytecode.

25	INITIAL STATE	STACK ACTION		ACTIONS
30	00000 00000	+2	01010 01111	R1 <- TOS R1 <- TOS-1, R2 <- TOS R1 <- TOS-2, R2 <- TOS-1, R3 <- TOS R0 <- TOS, R1 <- TOS-3, R2 <- TOS-2, R3 <- TOS-1
35	00100	+1 +2 +3 -1	01110	R1 <- TOS R1 <- TOS-1, R2 <- TOS R1 <- TOS-2, R2 <- TOS-1, R3 <- TOS R0 <- EMPTY
40	01001 01001 01001 01001	+2	10011 00100 00000	R2 <- TOS R2 <- TOS-1, R3 <- TOS R1 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY

	=	=	300
***		-	
*****	11	Ĭ,	thurst.
	11	Ę	3311155
:	4	÷	251166
*****	=	Bin.	Street
	=	mid.	direction of
	=	then there and the Te Test that Hall H H H	· Second
	=		
	11	2 2	Street
•	11 34	fficus,	1
	=	H II thou, must fout H II	*******
		first.	ester 55
	=	11 11	66,1445
		-	

	.01110 01110 01110	-1 -2 -3	01001 00100 00000	R2 <- EMPTY R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY	
5	10011 10011 10011 10011	-1 -2 -3 -4	01110 01001 00100 00000	R3 <- EMPTY R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY,	R3 <- EMPTY
10	10000 10000 10000 10000	-1 -2 -3 -4	01111 01010 00101 00000	R0 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY,	R3 <- EMPTY

5	. 10001 10001 10001 10001	-1 -2 -3 -4		R1 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY,	R3 <- EMPTY
10		-1 -2 -3 -4	00111	R2 <- EMPTY R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY,	R3 <- EMPTY
15	01111	+1 -1 -2 -3	01010	R0 <- TOS R3 <- EMPTY R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY	
20	01100 01100 01100 01100	+1 -1 -2 -3	01011	R1 <- TOS R0 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY	
125 125	01101 01101 01101 01101	+1 -1 -2 -3	01000 00111	R2 <- TOS R1 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R1 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY	
30	01010 01010	+1 +2 -1 -2	10000	R3 <- TOS R3 <- TOS-1, R0 <- TOS R2 <- EMPTY R1 <- EMPTY, R2 <- EMPTY	
35	01011	+1 +2 -1 -2		R0 <- TOS R0 <- TOS-1, R1 <- TOS R3 <- EMPTY R2 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY	
40	01000 01000 01000 01000	+1 +2 -1 -2		R1 <- TOS R1 <- TOS-1, R2 <- TOS R0 <- EMPTY R0 <- EMPTY, R3 <- EMPTY	
45	00110 00110 00110 00110	+1 +2 +3 -1	01011 01100 10001 00000	R3 <- TOS R0 <- TOS, R3 <- TOS-1 R1 <- TOS, R0 <- TOS-1, R3 <- TOS-2 R2 <- EMPTY	
50	00111 00111 00111 00111	+1 +2 +3 -1	01000 01101 10010 00000	R0 <- TOS R0 <- TOS-1, R1 <- TOS R0 <- TOS-2, R1 <- TOS-1, R2 <- TOS R3 <- EMPTY	
55	00101 00101 00101 00101	+1 +2 +3 -1	01010 01111 10000 00000	R2 <- TOS R2 <- TOS-1, R3 <- TOS R2 <- TOS-2, R3 <- TOS-1, R1 <- TOS R1 <- EMPTY	

# TABLE 4

10

50

Operation:

It will be appreciated that the relationships between states and conditions illustrated in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 could be combined into a single state transition table or state diagram, but they have been shown separately above to aid clarity.

The relationships between the different states, conditions, and nett actions may be used to define a hardware state machine (in the form of a finite state machine) for controlling this aspect of the operation of the instruction translator 108. Alternatively, these relationships could be modelled by software or a combination of hardware and software.

There follows below an example of a subset of the possible Java bytecodes that

indicates for each Java bytecode of the subset the associated require full, require empty and 1 A god Gad Gad stack action values for that bytecode which may be used in conjunction with Tables 2, 3 and 4. 145 --- iconst 0 Operation: Push int constant Stack: 20 ..., 0 Require-Full = 0122 Require-Empty = 1ina's Stack-Action = +125 --- iadd Operation: Add int 30 Stack: ..., value1, value2 => ..., result Require-Full = 2Require-Empty = 035 Stack-Action = -1--- lload 0 Operation: Load long from local variable 40 Stack: ..., value.word1, value.word2 Require-Full = 045 Require-Empty = 2Stack-Action = +2--- lastore

Store into long array

50

```
Stack:
                                                                                                                   ..., arrayref, index, value.word1, value.word2 =>
         5
                                                                                                                  Require-Full = 4
                                                                                                                  Require-Empty = 0
                                                                                                                  Stack-Action = -4
                            --- land
      10
                           Operation
                                                                                                                 Boolean AND long
                           Stack:
                                                                                                                   ..., value1.word1, value1.word2, value2.word1,
                           value2.word2 =>
      15
                                                                                                                   ..., result.word1, result.word2
                                                                                                                 Require-Full = 4
                                                                                                                 Require-Empty = 0
                                                                                                                 Stack-Action = -2
    20
 [ ]
                            --- iastore
day that draw the the transfer and 
                           Operation:
                                                                                                                 Store into int array
                           Stack:
                                                                                                                  ..., arrayref, index, value =>
                                                                                                                  . . .
                                                                                                                 Require-Full = 3
                                                                                                                Require-Empty = 0
30
31
32
35
                                                                                                                 Stack-Action = -3
                           --- ineq
                          Operation:
                                                                                                                Negate int
                           Stack:
                                                                                                                  ..., value =>
                                                                                                                  ..., result
                                                                                                                Require-Full = 1
    40
                                                                                                                Require-Empty = 0
                                                                                                                 Stack-Action = 0
```

There also follows example instruction templates for each of the Java bytecode instructions set out above. The instructions shown are the ARM instructions which implement the required behaviour of each of the Java bytecodes. The register field "TOS-3", "TOS-2", "TOS-1", "TOS", "TOS+1" and "TOS+2" may be replaced with the appropriate register specifier as read from Table 1 depending upon the mapping state currently adopted. The denotation "TOS+n" indicates the Nth register above the register currently storing the top of stack operand starting from the register storing the top of stack operand and counting upwards in register value until reaching the end of the set of registers at which point a wrap is made to the first register within the set of registers.

mult mud

iji Fij

i î

30

```
iconst 0
                               VOM
                                        tos+1, #0
      lload 0
                               LDR
                                        tos+2, [vars, #4]
                               LDR
                                        tos+1, [vars, #0]
  5
      iastore
                               LDR
                                        Rtmp2, [tos-2, #4]
                               LDR
                                        Rtmp1, [tos-2, #0]
                               CMP
                                        tos-1, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                               BLXCS
                                        Rexc
 10
                                        tos, [Rtmpl, tos-1, LSL #2]
                               STR
      lastore
                               LDR
                                        Rtmp2, [tos-3, #4]
                               LDR
                                        Rtmp1, [tos-3, #0]
                                        tos-2, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                               CMP
 15
                               BLXCS
                                        Rexc
                               STR
                                        tos-1, [Rtmp1, tos-2, LSL #3]!
                               STR
                                        tos, [Rtmp1, #4]
      iadd
                               ADD
                                        tos-1, tos-1, tos
20
      ineg
                               RSB
                                        tos, tos, #0
M
ü
     land
                               AND
                                        tos-2, tos-2, tos
اِ ۽ ٢
                                        tos-3, tos-3, tos-1
                               AND
25
```

An example execution sequence is illustrated below of a single Java bytecode executed by a hardware translation unit 108 in accordance with the techniques described above. The execution sequence is shown in terms of an initial state progressing through a sequence of states dependent upon the instructions being executed, generating a sequence of ARM instructions as a result of the actions being performed on each state transition, the whole having the effect of translating a Java bytecode to a sequence of ARM instructions.

```
Initial state:
                            00000
     Instruction:
                            iadd (Require-Full=2, Require-Empty=0, Stack-Action=-
35
    1)
     Condition:
                     Require-Full>0
     State Transition:
                         00000
                                    >0
                                            00100
    ARM Instruction(s):
                                          LDR RO, [Rstack, #-4]!
40
                     00100
    Next state:
    Instruction:
                           iadd (Require-Full=2, Require-Empty=0, Stack-Action=-
    1)
                     Requite-Full>1
    Condition:
    State Transition:
                         00100
                                    >1
                                            01000
45
    ARM Instructions(s):
                                          LDR R3, [Rstack, #-4]!
    Next state:
                     01000
    Instruction:
                           iadd (Require-Full=2, Require-Empty=0, Stack-Action=-
    1)
50
    Condition:
                     Stack-Action=-1
    State Transition:
                         01000
                                            00111
                                    -1
    Instruction template:
                       ADD
                             tos-1, tos-1, tos
    ARM Instructions(s) (after substitution):
```

10

'Next state:

00111

Figure 6 illustrates in a different way the execution of a number of further Java bytecode instructions. The top portion of Figure 6 illustrates the sequence of ARM instructions and changes of mapping states and register contents that occur upon execution of an iadd Java bytecode instruction. The initial mapping state is 00000 corresponding to all of the registers within the set of registers being empty. The first two ARM instructions generated serve to POP two stack operands into the registers storing stack operands with the top of stack "TOS" register being R0. The third ARM instruction actually performs the add operation and writes the result into register R3 (which now becomes the top of stack operand) whilst consuming the stack operand that was previously held within register R1, thus producing an overall stack action of -1.

Processing then proceeds to execution of two Java bytecodes each representing a long load of two stack operands. The require empty condition of 2 for the first Java bytecode is immediately met and accordingly two ARM LDR instructions may be issued and executed. The mapping state after execution of the first long load Java bytecode is 01101. In this state the set of registers contains only a single empty register. The next Java bytecode long load instruction has a require empty value of 2 that is not met and accordingly the first action required is a PUSH of a stack operand to the addressable memory using an ARM STR instruction. This frees up a register within the set of registers for use by a new stack operand which may then be loaded as part of the two following LDR instructions. As previously mentioned, the instruction translation may be achieved by hardware, software, or a combination of the two. Given below is a subsection of an example software interpreter generated in accordance with the above described techniques.

30	Interpret	LDRB LDR DCD	Rtmp, [Rjpc, #1] pc, [pc, Rtmp, ] 0	-	L #2]	
		DCD	do_iconst_0	;	Opcode	0x03
35		DCD	do_lload_0	;	Opcode	0x1e
		DCD DCD	do_iastore do_lastore		Opcode Opcode	
40		DCD	do_iadd	;	Opcode	0x60

25

```
DCD
                                                          ; Opcode 0x74
                                         do ineg
                                . . .
                                DCD
                                        do land
                                                          ; Opcode 0x7f
                                . . .
  5
      do iconst_0
                                VOM
                                         RO, #0
                                STR
                                         RO, [Rstack], #4
                                В
                                         Interpret
                                LDMIA
                                        Rvars, {R0, R1}
      do lload 0
                                STMIA
                                         Rstack!, {R0, R1}
                                         Interpret
 10
                                В
                                         Rstack!, {R0, R1, R2}
                                LDMDB
      do_iastore
                                LDR
                                         Rtmp2, [r0, #4]
                                         Rtmp1, [r0, #0]
                                LDR
                                        R1, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                CMP
                                BCS
 15
                                        ArrayBoundException
                                STR
                                        R2, [Rtmp1, R1, LSL #2]
                                В
                                         Interpret
                                LDMDB
                                        Rstack!, {R0, R1, R2, R3}
      do lastore
                                LDR
                                        Rtmp2, [r0, #4]
__20
                                        Rtmp1, [r0, #0]
                                LDR
                                        R1, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                CMP
L.
                                BCS
                                        ArrayBoundException
STR
                                        R2, [Rtmp1, R1, LSL #3]!
                                STR
                                        R3, [Rtmp1, #4]
- 25
                                         Interpret
                                В
H
      do_iadd
                                LDMDB
                                         Rstack!, {r0, r1}
Ħ
                                ADD
                                         r0, r0, r1
                                STR
                                        r0, [Rstack], #4
Ħ
                                В
                                         Interpret
30
                                LDR
                                         r0, [Rstack, #-4]!
      do ineg
                                RSB
                                         tos, tos, #0
Ţ
                                STR
                                        r0, [Rstack], #4
N
                                В
                                         Interpret
LFI
                                         Rstack!, {r0, r1, r2, r3}
                                LDMDB
      do_land
35
                                         r1, r1, r3
                                AND
                                         r0, r0, r2
                                AND
ark
                                STMIA
                                         Rstack!, {r0, r1}
                                В
                                         Interpret
 40
      State 00000 Interpret
                                LDRB
                                         Rtmp, [Rjpc, #1]!
                                LDR
                                        pc, [pc, Rtmp, 1s1 #2]
                                DCD
                                . . .
                                DCD
                                         State 00000 do iconst 0 ; Opcode 0x03
 45
                                DCD
                                         State 00000 do lload 0 ; Opcode 0x1e
                                . . .
                                         State 00000_do_iastore
                                DCD
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x4f
                                         State_00000_do_lastore
                                DCD
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x50
 50
                                DCD
                                         State 00000 do iadd
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x60
                                DCD
                                         State_00000_do_ineg
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x74
 55
                                DCD
                                         State 00000 do land
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x7f
                                         R1, #0
      State_00000_do_iconst_0 MOV
                                         State_00101_Interpret
      State 00000_do_lload_0
                                LDMIA
                                         Rvars, {R1, R2}
 60
                                В
                                         State 01010 Interpret
      State 00000 do iastore
                                LDMDB
                                         Rstack!, {R0, R1, R2}
```

```
LDR
                                         Rtmp2, [r0, #4]
                                LDR
                                         Rtmp1, [r0, #0]
                                CMP
                                         R1, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                BCS
                                         ArrayBoundException
  5
                                STR
                                         R2, [Rtmp1, R1, LSL #2]
                                         State 00000 Interpret
                                LDMDB
                                         Rstack!, {R0, R1, R2, R3}
      State 00000_do_lastore
                                LDR
                                         Rtmp2, [r0, #4]
                                LDR
                                         Rtmp1, [r0, #0]
 10
                                CMP
                                         R1, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                BCS
                                         ArrayBoundException
                                STR
                                         R2, [Rtmp1, R1, LSL #3]!
                                STR
                                         R3, [Rtmp1, #4]
                                         State 00000 Interpret
                                В
                                LDMDB
                                         Rstack!, {R1, R2}
 15
      State_00000_do_iadd
                                ADD
                                         r1, r1, r2
                                В
                                         State_00101_Interpret
      State_00000_do_ineg
                                LDR
                                         r1, [Rstack, #-4]!
                                RSB
                                         rl, rl, #0
20
                                         State 00101 Interpret
                                В
Ame Son Hell
                                         r0, [Rstack, #-4]!
      State_00000_do_land
                                LDR
                                LDMDB
                                         Rstack!, {r1, r2, r3}
                                AND
                                         r2, r2, r0
                                AND
                                         r1, r1, r3
                                В
                                         State 01010 Interpret
State 00100 Interpret
                                LDRB
                                         Rtmp, [Rjpc, #1]!
                                LDR
                                         pc, [pc, Rtmp, 1s1 #2]
                                DCD
                                         0
30
                                . . .
CFI
                                DCD
                                         State_00100_do_iconst_0 ; Opcode 0x03
H
                                . . .
                                DCD
                                         State_00100_do_lload_0 ; Opcode 0xle
H
                                . . .
                                DCD
                                         State_00100_do_iastore
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x4f
                                DCD
                                         State_00100_do_lastore
                                                                  ; Opcode 0x50
                                . . .
                                DCD
                                         State_00100_do iadd
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x60
                                . . .
 40
                                         State 00100 do ineg
                                DCD
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x74
                                . . .
                                DCD
                                         State 00100 do land
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x7f
      State 00100 do iconst 0
                               MOV
                                         R1, #0
 45
                                         State 01001 Interpret
      State_00100_do_lload_0
                                LDMIA
                                         Rvars, {rl, R2}
                                         State 01110 Interpret
                                В
      State 00100 do iastore
                                         Rstack!, {r2, r3}
                                LDMDB
                                         Rtmp2, [r2, #4]
                                LDR
 50
                                LDR
                                         Rtmp1, [r2, #0]
                                CMP
                                         R3, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                BCS
                                         ArrayBoundException
                                STR
                                         RO, [Rtmp1, R3, 1s1 #2]
                                         State_00000_Interpret
                                В
 55
                                         Rstack!, {r1, r2, r3}
      State_00100_do_lastore
                                LDMDB
                                         Rtmp2, [r1, #4]
                                LDR
                                         Rtmp1, [r1, #0]
                                LDR
                                         r2, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                CMP
                                BCS
                                         ArrayBoundException
 60
                                STR
                                         r3, [Rtmp1, r2, 1s1 #3]!
                                STR
                                         r0, [Rtmp1, #4]
```

```
State 00000 Interpret
     State_00100_do_iadd
                                LDR
                                         r3, [Rstack, #-4]!
                                ADD
                                         r3, r3, r0
                                 В
                                         State 00111 Interpret
       State 00100_do_ineg
                                RSB
                                         r0, r0, #0
  5
                                 В
                                         State 00100 Interpret
                                         Rstack!, {r1, r2, r3}
       State 00100_do_land
                                LDMDB
                                         r2, r2, r0
                                AND
                                AND
                                         rl, rl, r3
                                         State 01010 Interpret
  10
                                LDRB
                                         Rtmp, [Rjpc, #1]!
       State 01000 Interpret
                                 LDR
                                         pc, [pc, Rtmp, 1s1 #2]
                                 DCD
  15
                                 . . .
                                 DCD
                                         State 01000 do iconst_0 ; Opcode 0x03
                                 . . .
                                 DCD
                                         State 01000 do lload 0
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x1e
                                 . . .
20
                                 DCD
                                         State 01000 do iastore
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x4f
                                         State_01000_do_lastore
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x50
                                 DCD
. . .
                                         State 01000 do iadd
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x60
                                 DCD
H
                                 . . .
                                 DCD
                                         State 01000 do ineg
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x74
- 25
LT.
                                 . . .
                                         State 01000 do land
                                                                   ; Opcode 0x7f
                                 DCD
H
II.
                                 . . .
                                         R1, #0
       State 01000 do iconst_0 MOV
                                         State_01101_Interpret
                                 В
                                         Rvars, {rl, r2}
       State 01000 do lload 0
                                 LDMIA
Ü
                                 В
                                         State 10010_Interpret
M
                                         r1, [Rstack, #-4]!
       State 01000 do iastore
                                 LDR
ΙΠ
                                         Rtmp2, [R3, #4]
                                 LDR
                                         Rtmp1, [R3, #0]
35
                                 LDR
                                         r0, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                 CMP
anh
                                         ArrayBoundException
                                 BCS
                                          rl, [Rtmp1, r0, lsl #2]
                                 STR
                                 В
                                          State 00000_Interpret
  40
       State 01000 do_lastore
                                 LDMDB
                                          Rstack!, {rl, r2}
                                          Rtmp2, {r3, #4}
                                 LDR
                                 LDR
                                          Rtmp1, {R3, #0}
                                          r0, Rtmp2, LSR #5
                                 CMP
                                          ArrayBoundException
                                 BCS
                                          r1, [Rtmp1, r0, lsl #3]!
  45
                                 STR
                                 STR
                                          r2, [Rtmp1, #4]
                                          State 00000 Interpret
                                 В
                                 ADD
                                          r3, r3, r0
       State 01000_do_iadd
                                          State 00111 Interpret
                                 В
                                          r0, r0, #0
  50
       State 01000 do ineg
                                 RSB
                                          State 01000 Interpret
                                 В
                                          Rstack!, {rl, r2}
       State 01000 do land
                                 LDMDB
                                          RO, RO, R2
                                 AND
                                          R3, R3, R1
                                 AND
                                          State_01000_Interpret
  55
                                 В
       State_01100_Interpret
                                 . . .
       State_10000_Interpret
                                 . . .
       State_00101_Interpret
                                 . . .
  60
       State_01001_Interpret
                                  . . .
       State 01101 Interpret
```

```
State_10001_Interpret

'State_00110_Interpret
State_01010_Interpret
State_01110_Interpret

State_10010_Interpret
State_00111_Interpret
State_01011_Interpret
State_01111_Interpret
State_01111_Interpret
State_10011_Interpret
State_10011_Interpret

State_10011_Interpret
```

Figure 7 illustrates a Java bytecode instruction "laload" which has the function of reading two words of data from within a data array specified by two words of data starting at the top of stack position. The two words read from the data array then replace the two words that specified their position and to form the topmost stack entries.

In order that the "laload" instruction has sufficient register space for the temporary storage of the stack operands being fetched from the array without overwriting the input stack operands that specify the array and position within the array of the data, the Java bytecode instruction is specified as having a require empty value of 2, i.e. two of the registers within the register bank dedicated to stack operand storage must be emptied prior to executing the ARM instructions emulating the "laload" instruction. If there are not two empty registers when this Java bytecode is encountered, then store operations (STRs) may be performed to PUSH stack operands currently held within the registers out to memory so as to make space for the temporary storage necessary and meet the require empty value for the instruction.

The instruction also has a require full value of 2 as the position of the data is specified by an array location and an index within that array as two separate stack operands. The drawing illustrates the first state as already meeting the require full and require empty conditions and having a mapping state of "01001". The "laload" instruction is broken down into three ARM instructions. The first of these loads the array reference into a spare working register outside of the set of registers acting as a register cache of stack operands. The second instruction then uses this array reference in conjunction with an index value within the array to access a first array word that is written into one of the empty registers dedicated to stack operand storage.

35

15

The last personal per

H

25

30

It is significant to note that after the execution of the first two ARM instructions, the mapping state of the system is not changed and the top of stack pointer remains where it started with the registers specified as empty still being so specified.

The final instruction within the sequence of ARM instructions loads the second array word into the set of registers for storing stack operands. As this is the final instruction, if an interrupt does occur during it, then it will not be serviced until after the instruction completes and so it is safe to change the input state with this instruction by a change to the mapping state of the registers storing stack operands. In this example, the mapping state changes to "01011" which places the new top of stack pointer at the second array word and indicates that the input variables of the array reference and index value are now empty registers, i.e. marking the registers as empty is equivalent to removing the values they held from the stack.

10

5

It will be noted that whilst the overall stack action of the "laload" instruction has not changed the number of stack operands held within the registers, a mapping state swap has nevertheless occurred. The change of mapping state performed upon execution of the final operation is hardwired into the instruction translator as a function of the Java bytecode being translated and is indicated by the "swap" parameter shown as a characteristic of the "laload" instruction.

Whilst the example of this drawing is one specific instruction, it will be appreciated that the principles set out may be extended to many different Java bytecode instructions that are emulated as ARM instructions or other types of instruction.

Figure 8 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating the above technique. At step 10 a Java bytecode is fetched from memory. At step 12 the require full and require empty values for that Java bytecode are examined. If either of the require empty or require full conditions are not met, then respective PUSH and POP operations of stack operands (possibly multiple stack operands) may be performed with steps 14 and 16. It is will be noted that this particular system does not allow the require empty and require full conditions to be simultaneously unmet. Multiple passes through steps 14 and 16 may be required until the condition of step 12 is met.

30

25

At step 18, the first ARM instruction specified within the translation template for the Java bytecode concerned is selected. At step 20, a check is made as to whether or not the selected ARM instruction is the final instruction to be executed in the emulation of the Java bytecode fetched at step 10. If the ARM instruction being executed is the final instruction,

then step 21 serves to update the program counter value to point to the next Java bytecode in the sequence of instructions to be executed. It will be understood that if the ARM instruction is the final instruction, then it will complete its execution irrespective of whether or not an interrupt now occurs and accordingly it is safe to update the program counter value to the next Java bytecode and restart execution from that point as the state of the system will have reached that matching normal, uninterrupted, full execution of the Java bytecode. If the test at step 20 indicates that the final bytecode has not been reached, then updating of the program counter value is bypassed.

10

5

Step 22 executes the current ARM instruction. At step 24 a test is made as to whether or not there are any more ARM instructions that require executing as part of the template. If there are more ARM instructions, then the next of these is selected at step 26 and processing is returned to step 20. If there are no more instructions, then processing proceeds to step 28 at which any mapping change/swap specified for the Java bytecode concerned is performed in order to reflect the desired top of stack location and full/empty status of the various registers holding stack operands.

Figure 8 also schematically illustrates the points at which an interrupt if asserted is serviced and then processing restarted after an interrupt. An interrupt starts to be serviced after the execution of an ARM instruction currently in progress at step 22 with whatever is the current program counter value being stored as a return point with the bytecode sequence. If the current ARM instruction executing is the final instruction within the template sequence, then step 21 will have just updated the program counter value and accordingly this will point to the next Java bytecode (or ARM instruction should an instruction set switch have just been initiated). If the currently executing ARM instruction is anything other than the final instruction in the sequence, then the program counter value will still be the same as that indicated at the start of the execution of the Java bytecode concerned and accordingly when a return is made, the whole Java bytecode will be re-executed.

30

25

Figure 9 illustrates a Java bytecode translation unit 68 that receives a stream of Java bytecodes and outputs a translated stream of ARM instructions (or corresponding control signals) to control the action of a processor core. As described previously, the Java bytecode translator 68 translates simple Java bytecodes using instruction templates into ARM instructions or sequences of ARM instructions. When each Java bytecode has been executed, then a counter

John pring party pring many party many prince prince of the prince of th

25

30

5

10

value within scheduling control logic 70 is decremented. When this counter value reaches 0, then the Java bytecode translation unit 68 issues an ARM instruction branching to scheduling code that manages scheduling between threads or tasks as appropriate.

Whilst simple Java bytecodes are handled by the Java bytecode translation unit 68 itself providing high speed hardware based execution of these bytecodes, bytecodes requiring more complex processing operations are sent to a software interpreter provided in the form of a collection of interpretation routines (examples of a selection of such routines are given earlier in this description). More specifically, the Java bytecode translation unit 68 can determined that the bytecode it has received is not one which is supported by hardware translation and accordingly a branch can be made to an address dependent upon that Java bytecode where a software routine for interpreting that bytecode is found or referenced. This mechanism can also be employed when the scheduling logic 70 indicates that a scheduling operation is needed to yield a branch to the scheduling code.

Figure 10 illustrates the operation of the embodiment of Figure 9 in more detail and the split of tasks between hardware and software. All Java bytecodes are received by the Java bytecode translation unit 68 and cause the counter to be decremented at step 72. At step 74 a check is made as to whether or not the counter value has reached 0. If the counter value has reached 0 (counting down from either a predetermined value hardwired into the system or a value that may be user controlled/programmed), then a branch is made to scheduling code at step 76. Once the scheduling code has completed at step 76, control is returned to the hardware and processing proceeds to step 72, where the next Java bytecode is fetched and the counter again decremented. Since the counter reached 0, then it will now roll round to a new, non-zero value. Alternatively, a new value may be forced into the counter as part of the exiting of the scheduling process at step 76.

If the test at step 74 indicated that the counter did not equal 0, then step 78 fetches the Java bytecode. At step 80 a determination is made as to whether the fetched bytecode is a simple bytecode that may be executed by hardware translation at step 82 or requires more complex processing and accordingly should be passed out for software interpretation at step 84. If processing is passed out to software interpretation, then once this has completed control is returned to the hardware where step 72 decrements the counter again to take account of the fetching of the next Java bytecode.

The state of the s

25

30

5

10

Figure 11 illustrates an alternative control arrangement. At the start of processing at step 86 an instruction signal (scheduling signal) is deasserted. At step 88, a fetched Java bytecode is examined to see if it is a simple bytecode for which hardware translation is supported. If hardware translation is not supported, then control is passed out to the interpreting software at step 90 which then executes a ARM instruction routine to interpret the Java bytecode. If the bytecode is a simple one for which hardware translation is supported, then processing proceeds to step 92 at which one or more ARM instructions are issued in sequence by the Java bytecode translation unit 68 acting as a form of multi-cycle finite state machine. Once the Java bytecode has been properly executed either at step 90 or at step 92, then processing proceeds to step 94 at which the instruction signal is asserted for a short period prior to being deasserted at step 86. The assertion of the instruction signal indicates to external circuitry that an appropriate safe point has been reached at which a timer based scheduling interrupt could take place without risking a loss of data integrity due to the partial execution of an interpreted or translated instruction.

Figure 12 illustrates example circuitry that may be used to respond to the instruction signal generated in Figure 11. A timer 96 periodically generates a timer signal after expiry of a given time period. This timer signal is stored within a latch 98 until it is cleared by a clear timer interrupt signal. The output of the latch 98 is logically combined by an AND gate 100 with the instruction signal asserted at step 94. When the latch is set and the instruction signal is asserted, then an interrupt is generated as the output of the AND gate 100 and is used to trigger an interrupt that performs scheduling operations using the interrupt processing mechanisms provided within the system for standard interrupt processing. Once the interrupt signal has been generated, this in turn triggers the production of a clear timer interrupt signal that clears the latch 98 until the next timer output pulse occurs.

Figure 13 is a signal diagram illustrating the operation of the circuit of Figure 12. The processor core clock signals occur at a regular frequency. The timer 96 generates timer signals at predetermined periods to indicate that, when safe, a scheduling operation should be initiated. The timer signals are latched. Instruction signals are generated at times spaced apart by intervals that depend upon how quickly a particular Java bytecode was executed. A simple Java bytecode may execute in a single processor core clock cycle, or more typically two or three, whereas a complex Java bytecode providing a high level management type function may take several hundred processor clock cycles before its execution is completed by the software interpreter. In

10

either case, a pending asserted latched timer signal is not acted upon to trigger a scheduling operation until the instruction signal issues indicating that it is safe for the scheduling operation to commence. The simultaneous occurrence of a latched timer signal and the instruction signal triggers the generation of an interrupt signal followed immediately thereafter by a clear signal that clears the latch 98.

Although illustrative embodiments of the invention have been described in detail herein with reference to the accompanying drawings, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to those precise embodiments, and that various changes and modifications can be effected therein by one skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention as defined by the appended claims.